BINAURAL BEATS AND

THE REGULATION OF AROUSAL LEVELS

F. HOLMES ATWATER

The Monroe Institute, 62 Roberts Mountain Road, Faber, VA 22938-2317
http://www.monroeinstitute.org

Abstract

This paper describes two studies. A first study measured the neural accommodation (changes in ongoing or overall brainwave activity) associated with complex binaural-beat stimuli. A second study, based on the same protocol, measured changes in ongoing brainwave activity associated with placebo stimuli.

A weak EEG frequency-following response to binaural beating and other rhythmic stimuli manifests using time-domain averaging brainwave analysis techniques. Theoretically, this frequency-following response emerges as a low-amplitude linked series of evoked-potential responses. It is important to note that these studies examined ongoing brainwave activity (in this case, central delta and occipital alpha) and not the frequency-following response.

Results of the two studies showed that during the binaural beat stimuli, reductions in the percentages of occipital alpha (bipolar O1-O2) were significant (individually, $p < .05$ and together, $p < .001$) during five of six free-running EEG recording periods compared to baselines. During these same recording periods reductions in the percentages of central delta (bipolar C3-C4) were similarly significant during four of six periods compared to baselines. Alpha- and delta-brainwave changes were non-significant during the placebo stimuli.

The extended reticular-thalamic activating system (ERTAS) may be the neural mechanism behind the observed brainwave changes. The reticular formation of the brain stimulating the thalamus and cortex (referred to as the ERTAS) governs cortical brainwave patterns. Acetylcholine, provided via cortico-thalamic projections, either inhibits or excites areas of the cortex by neutralizing or enhancing the effects of noradrenaline and serotonin coming to the cortex via "fountains" from the locus coeruleus and the raphe nuclei.

Background

A look at the auditory phenomenon known as binaural beating provides a unique opportunity to understand the power of rhythmic sound and music to influence arousal. The sensation of "hearing" binaural beats occurs when two coherent sounds of nearly similar frequencies are introduced by stereo presentation one to each ear. Phase differences between these sounds engender a perceived vibrato or wavering at the frequency of the difference between the two (stereo left and right) auditory inputs called the binaural beat.

Binaural beating originates in the brainstem's two superior olivary nuclei (Oster 1973). Beating frequency information neurologically passes to the reticular formation (Swann et al. 1982). This information is said to be simultaneously "volume conducted" to the cortex and objectively measured by EEG as a frequency-following response (Oster 1973; Smith et al. 1975; Marsh et al. 1975; Smith et al. 1978; Hink et al. 1980). This cortical measurement was termed the "frequency-following response" because its period (frequency in cycles per second) corresponds to the frequency of the beat stimulus and the oscillation present in the olivary nuclei and subsequently the reticular formation (Smith et al. 1975).

The EEG frequency-following response, an objective, instrumented observation, strongly suggests that the perceived binaural beating is, in fact, the result of a low-level coherent oscillation within the central nervous system and the brainstem in particular. Binaural beats can easily be heard at the low frequencies that are characteristic of the brainwave spectrum (Oster 1973; Hink et al. 1980; Atwater 1997). The existence of an externally initiated, internally present low-level coherent oscillation (perceived as binaural beating) within the central nervous system and specifically the reticular formation, suggests a condition that may facilitate alterations of levels of cortical arousal.

There have been numerous anecdotal reports and a growing number of research efforts reporting changes in consciousness associated with binaural beats. The audio phenomenon known as binaural beating has been associated with changes in arousal leading to sensory integration (Morris 1990), alpha biofeedback (Foster 1990), relaxation, meditation, stress reduction, pain management, improved sleep (Wilson 1990; Rhodes 1993), health care (Carter 1993), enriched learning environments (Akenhead 1993), enhanced memory (Kennerly 1994), creativity (Hiew 1995), treatment of children with developmental disabilities (Morris 1996), the facilitation of attention (Guilfoyle & Carbone 1996), peak and other exceptional experiences (Masluk 1997), enhancement of hypnotizability (Brady 1997), treatment of alcoholic depression (Waldkoetter & Sanders 1997), and promotion of vigilance performance and mood (Lane et al. 1998).

Theoretically, sound waves exhibiting a frequency-following response may be effective in the regulation of arousal levels by way of inducing fluxes in cholinergic neurons or the 'gatelets' of the nucleus reticularis. The concept here is that the binding of acetylcholine to cholinergic neurons (Scheibel 1980; Macchi & Bentivoglio 1986; Groenewengen & Berendse 1994 [all cited in Newman 1997a]) or the 'gatelets' of the nucleus reticularis is affected by these sounds when the rhythmic patterns become neural oscillations within the brainstem.

These changes within the cholinergic neurons can be externally initiated using auditory drubbing found in rhythmic music, drumming, or the unique phenomenon known as binaural beating. Perceived binaural beating indicates the presence of a coherent oscillation within the brainstem's two superior olivary nuclei as evidenced by the cortically measured frequency-following response (Oster 1973; Hink et al. 1980). As
with other rhythmic sound patterns, the low-level coherent oscillation (within the superior olivary nuclei) that accompanies binaural beating appears to regulate arousal states by providing frequency information to the extended reticular-thalamic activating system (ERTAS) and thereby inducing fluxes in cholinergic neurons or the 'gatelets' of the nucleus reticularis.

First Study

The first study examined the degree to which complex binaural beats influenced ongoing brainwave activity (in this case, central delta and occipital alpha). Ongoing or dominant brainwave activity can be referred to as cortical levels of arousal.

Hypothesis

Listening to binaural beats for several minutes will modify ongoing brainwave activity. Increasing the amplitude of delta-frequency binaural-beat stimuli while decreasing the amplitude of alpha-frequency binaural-beat stimuli will result in comparable changes in arousal as measured by free-running EEG.

Method

During this study 20 volunteer subjects remained supine in a darkened, sound-attenuating chamber. Subjects reported normal hearing with the exception of one subject who had a bilateral hearing loss and for whom the volume of the stimuli was raised to a comfortable level to compensate for said hearing loss. None of the subjects reported a history of mental, emotionally, or nervous-system disorders.

The experimental binaural-beat stimuli consisted of mixed sinusoidal tones producing complex frequency patterns (waveforms) changing over a period of 45 minutes. The stimuli were presented with stereo earphones at 40 dB above subjective threshold.

The volunteer subjects first experienced a no-stimulus baseline condition during which a 90-second EEG recording was taken. Next, each subject listened to the same 45-minute

sequence of changing binaural beats (See Figure 1.) during which six 90-second EEG recordings were taken at regular intervals. To reduce the influence of expectation, subjects were blind as to the character of the tones presented during the stimulus condition. Finally, during a no-stimulus post-baseline condition a 90-second EEG recording was made. (See Figure 2.)

Figure 1

Figure 2

90-Second EEG Recordings

Subjects were connected to a 24-channel digitizing EEG computer (NRS-24, LEXICOR Medical Technology Inc., Boulder, Colorado) using V151 software and the entire standard 10/20 International System montage of electrodes. The nineteen active EEG channels and reference electrode placements were tested to ensure the lowest possible contact resistance and balanced impedance level. A sampling rate of 256 samples per second was used which provided for an EEG frequency response of 1-64 Hz (less 60 Hz, due to a notch filter), a frequency resolution of 1 Hz, and a temporal resolution of one second.

The audio patterns cross-faded smoothly from one complex stimulus waveform to another during the 45-minute binaural-beat protocol. Detailed below are the audio stimuli experienced by the subjects during the designated EEG recording periods:

Left-Ear	Right-Ear	Volume	EEG Recording Periods	
50 Hz	50.75 Hz	40%	First	@ 3 Minutes
100 Hz	101.5 Hz	32%		
200 Hz	207 Hz	28%		
50 Hz	50.75 Hz	40%	Second	@ 8 Minutes
100 Hz	101.5 Hz	32%		
200 Hz	205 Hz	28%		
50 Hz	50.5 Hz	29%	Third	@ 14 Minutes
75 Hz	75.75 Hz	26%		
100 Hz	101.5 Hz	24%		
200 Hz	204 Hz	21%		
50 Hz	50.75 Hz	20%	Fourth	@ 22 Minutes

```
100 Hz              101.5 Hz         18%
125 Hz             126.25 Hz         25%
200 Hz                204 Hz         15%
200 Hz                202 Hz         22%

 50 Hz              50.75 Hz         24%              Fifth     @ 30
Minutes
100 Hz              101.5 Hz         23%
200 Hz                204 Hz         35%
325 Hz              328.5 Hz         12%
525 Hz             530.25 Hz          6%

 50 Hz              50.75 Hz         29%              Sixth     @ 38 Minutes
100 Hz              101.5 Hz         28%
200 Hz                204 Hz         36%
850 Hz              858.5 Hz          4%
1375 Hz          1397.25 Hz          3%
```

Play a sample of <u>binaural beats</u> in test.

<u>Results</u>

The data on two subjects were rejected due to movement artifact. WINKS Professional Edition statistical software (TexaSoft, Cedar Hill, Texas) was used to provide a multiple comparison procedure following a one-way ANOVA (Dunnett's Test) comparing the combined baselines as a control mean with the binaural-beat stimulus periods for the remaining eighteen subjects. This analysis showed the reductions in the percentages of occipital alpha (bipolar O1-O2) during stimuli conditions were significant (individually, $p < .05$) during five of six stimulus periods compared to baselines. (See below.)

Analysis Summary for Occipital Alpha - Stimulus Condition

Means and standard deviations for percent occipital alpha:

Baselines:	mean = 30.469	s. d. = 6.6197	n = 18
Period 1:	mean = 27.186	s. d. = 5.8456	n = 18
Period 2:	mean = 25.2613	s. d. = 5.2129	n = 18
Period 3:	mean = 23.9439	s. d. = 6.5893	n = 18
Period 4:	mean = 24.4362	s. d. = 6.8506	n = 18
Period 5:	mean = 23.2225	s. d. = 5.4852	n = 18
Period 6:	mean = 21.6931	s. d. = 4.5623	n = 18

Analysis of Variance Table

Source	--S.S.--	-DF-	-MS-	-F-	Approx. p
Total	5090.45	125			
Treatment	901.35	6	150.23	4.27	< .001

Error   4189.1   119   35.2

Error term used for comparisons = 35.2 with 119 d. f.

Dunnett's Comp. (2-tailed)	Difference	P	Q	Critical q (.05)	
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 1	3.2829	2	1.66	1.98	
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 2	5.2077	3	2.633	2.241	*
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 3	6.5251	5	3.299	2.471	*
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 4	6.0328	4	3.05	2.381	*
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 5	7.2465	6	3.664	2.55	*
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 6	8.7758	7	4.437	2.601	*

Comparisons marked with an asterisk "*" are significantly ($p < .05$) different.

Figure 3

Occipital EEG Recordings - Stimulus Condition
Multiple Comparison - Dunnett's Analysis

Statistical analysis of the data also showed the increases in the percentages of central delta (bipolar C3-C4) during stimuli conditions were significant (individually, $p < .05$) during four of six stimulus periods compared to baselines. (See below.)

Analysis Summary for Central Delta - Stimulus Condition

Means and standard deviations for percent central delta:

Baselines:	mean = 18.4534	s. d. = 2.7744	n = 18
Period 1:	mean = 21.1218	s. d. = 3.3948	n = 18
Period 2:	mean = 21.457	s. d. = 3.5	n = 18
Period 3:	mean = 25.1393	s. d. = 7.4027	n = 18
Period 4:	mean = 25.218	s. d. = 6.4961	n = 18
Period 5:	mean = 24.7991	s. d. = 7.0691	n = 18
Period 6:	mean = 25.1343	s. d. = 5.5444	n = 18

Analysis of Variance Table

Source	--S.S.--	-DF-	-MS-	-F-	Approx. p
Total	4344.82	125			
Treatment	788.73	6	131.46	4.4	< .001
Error	3556.08	119	29.88		

Error term used for comparisons = 35.2 with 119 d. f.

Dunnett's Comp. (2-tailed)	Difference	P	Q	Critical q (.05)	
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 1	2.6685	2	1.464	1.98	
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 2	3.0036	3	1.648	2.241	
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 3	6.6859	6	3.669	2.55	*
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 4	6.7646	7	3.712	2.601	*
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 5	6.3358	4	3.477	2.381	*
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 6	6.681	5	3.666	2.471	*

Comparisons marked with an asterisk "*" are significantly (p < .05) different.

Figure 4

Central EEG Recordings - Stimulus Condition
Multiple Comparison - Dunnett's Analysis

Four of six stimulus conditions were significantly higher than the baselines.

EEG Recordings — Delta Binaural Beat Stimulus

The results of this first study significantly distinguished brainwave activity during the stimulus periods from the baseline recordings both with increased central-delta EEG levels and decreased occipital-alpha EEG levels. Decreases in alpha amplitudes coupled with increasing delta activity indicate reduced cortical arousal (Berger et al. 1968). The mounting changes over the course of the stimuli suggest a deepening trend of progressive relaxation and falling asleep. Some so-called altered states of consciousness can also be associated with increased delta (Empson, 1986) and a suppression of occipital alpha.

A basic question raised by this study was the role of binaural-beat stimulation in solely or directly causing the state changes observed. Several of the subjects had considerable previous experience with binaural-beat audio recordings. It may be that the subjects in this study were naturally adept at altering levels of arousal or that they had acquired this ability through repeated practice. Additionally, the deepening trend over time suggests the need to take naturally occurring, progressive state changes associated with falling asleep into consideration.

Second Study

To address these concerns a second study measured the changes in ongoing brainwave activity during a placebo stimulus (without binaural beats). This study examined the degree to which monotonous tones in the same environment as the first study influenced ongoing central delta and occipital alpha brainwave activity.

Hypothesis

Listening to monotonous tones for several minutes will result in habituation of the stimuli and a slowing of ongoing brainwave activity (increased delta and decreased alpha) and a progressive state of relaxation.

Method

The second study also included 20 volunteer subjects. The subjects remained supine in a darkened, sound-attenuating chamber as in the first study. Subjects reported normal hearing. None of the subjects reported a history of mental or nervous disorders.

The placebo stimuli consisted of the same mixed sinusoidal tones changing over a period of 45 minutes used with the first study with the exception that they did not produce binaural beating. The stimuli were presented with stereo earphones at 40 dB above subjective threshold. The volunteer subjects first experienced a no-stimulus baseline condition during which a 90-second EEG recording was taken. Next, each subject listened to the same 45-minute sequence of changing tones during which six 90-second EEG recordings were taken at regular intervals. To reduce the influence of expectation, subjects were again blind as to the character of the tones presented. Finally, during a no-stimulus post-baseline condition a 90-second EEG recording was made.

Subjects were connected to a 24-channel digitizing EEG computer in the same manner as in the first study. Like in the first study, a sampling rate of 256 samples per second was used which provided for an EEG frequency response of 1-64 Hz, a frequency resolution of 1 Hz, and a temporal resolution of one second.

The placebo tones cross-faded smoothly from one to another during the 45-minute protocol. Detailed below are the audio stimuli experienced by the subjects during the designated EEG recording periods:

Left-Ear	Right-Ear	Volume	EEG Recording Periods	
50 Hz	50 Hz	40%	First	@ 3 Minutes
100 Hz	100 Hz	32%		
200 Hz	200 Hz	28%		
50 Hz	50 Hz	40%	Second	@ 8 Minutes
100 Hz	100 Hz	32%		
200 Hz	200 Hz	28%		
50 Hz	50 Hz	29%	Third	@ 14 Minutes
75 Hz	75 Hz	26%		
100 Hz	100 Hz	24%		
200 Hz	200 Hz	21%		
50 Hz	50 Hz	20%	Fourth	@ 22 Minutes
100 Hz	100 Hz	18%		
125 Hz	125 Hz	25%		
200 Hz	200 Hz	15%		
200 Hz	200 Hz	22%		

```
  50 Hz              50 Hz            24%                 Fifth      @ 30
Minutes
 100 Hz             100 Hz            23%
 200 Hz             200 Hz            35%
 325 Hz             325 Hz            12%
 525 Hz             525 Hz             6%

  50 Hz              50 Hz            29%                 Sixth      @ 38 Minutes
 100 Hz             100 Hz            28%
 200 Hz             200 Hz            36%
 850 Hz             850 Hz             4%
1375 Hz            1375 Hz             3%
```

Play a sample of <u>placebo tones</u> in test.

Results

The data on two subjects were rejected due to movement artifact leaving eighteen subjects as in the first study. A multiple comparison procedure following a one-way ANOVA (Dunnett's Test) comparing the combined baselines as a control mean with the placebo stimuli periods showed non-significant reductions in the percentages of occipital alpha (bipolar O1-O2) during stimuli conditions compared to baselines. (See below.)

Analysis Summary for Occipital Alpha - Placebo Condition

Means and standard deviations for percent occipital alpha:

Baselines: mean = 30.3427   s. d. = 9.7672   n = 18
Period 1:   mean = 29.8544   s. d. = 9.2752   n = 18
Period 2:   mean = 27.7227   s. d. = 8.0999   n = 18
Period 3:   mean = 26.3955   s. d. = 7.771   n = 18
Period 4:   mean = 28.6144   s. d. = 8.6961   n = 18
Period 5:   mean = 24.5212   s. d. = 6.3118   n = 18
Period 6:   mean = 27.5927   s. d. = 8.7169   n = 18

Analysis of Variance Table

Source	--S.S.--	-DF-	-MS-	-F-	Approx. p
Total	8914.46	125			
Treatment	433.67	6	72.28	1.01	0.4194
Error	8480.78	119	71.2		

Error term used for comparisons = 71.27 with 119 d. f.

Dunnett's Comp. (2-tailed)	Difference	P	Q	Critical q (.05)
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 1	0.4883	2	.174	1.98

Mean Baselines - Mean Period 2   2.62   4   .931   2.381
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 3   3.9472   6   1.403   2.55
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 4   1.7283   3   .614   2.241
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 5   5.8215   7   2.069   2.601
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 6   2.75   5   .977   2.471

Comparisons marked with an asterisk "*" are significantly (p < .05) different.

Figure 5

Occipital EEG Recordings - Placebo Condition
Multiple Comparison - Dennett's Analysis

None of the recording periods was significantly lower than the baselines.

Statistical analysis of the data also showed the non-significant increases in the percentages of central delta (bipolar C3-C4) during stimuli conditions compared to baselines. (See below.)

Analysis Summary for Central Delta - Placebo Condition

Means and standard deviations for percent central delta:

Baselines: mean = 18.1997   s. d. = 4.0204   n = 18
Period 1:   mean = 18.5893   s. d. = 4.234   n = 18
Period 2:   mean = 20.298   s. d. = 3.9881   n = 18
Period 3:   mean = 21.0204   s. d. = 4.0122   n = 18

Period 4:   mean = 21.6606   s. d. = 5.1337   n = 18

Period 5:   mean = 21.8038   s. d. = 4.334   n = 18
Period 6:   mean = 19.5615   s. d. = 4.449   n = 18

Analysis of Variance Table

Source	--S.S.--	-DF-	-MS-	-F-	Approx. p
Total	2450.28	125			
Treatment	222.86	6	37.14	1.98	0.0732
Error	2227.42	119	18.72		

Error term used for comparisons = 18.72 with 119 d. f.

Dunnett's Comp. (2-tailed)	Difference	P	Q	Critical q (.05)
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 1	0.3895	2	.27	1.98
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 2	2.0982	4	1.455	2.381
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 3	2.8207	5	1.956	2.471
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 4	3.4609	6	2.4	2.55
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 5	3.604	7	2.499	2.601
Mean Baselines - Mean Period 6	1.3618	3	.944	2.241

Comparisons marked with an asterisk "*" are significantly (p < .05) different.

Figure 6

Central EEG Recordings - Placebo Condition
Multiple Comparison - Dunnett's Analysis

Delta EEG

38%
36%
34%
32%
30%
28%
26%
24%
22%
20%
18%
16%

None of the recording periods was significantly higher than the baselines.

Baseline   Period 1 - 3 Min.   Period 2 - 8 Min.   Period 3 - 14 Min.   Period 4 - 22 Min.   Period 5 - 30 Min.   Period 6 - 38 Min.   Post-Baseline

▣ EEG Recordings

The results of this second study did not significantly distinguish occipital alpha and central delta brainwave activity during the placebo stimulus periods from the baselines. As set forth in the hypothesis of this placebo study, the observed decreases in alpha amplitudes coupled with increasing delta activity were expected as a reaction to listening to monotonous tones. These changes, however, were not statistically significant-meaning that they could be expected to have happened by chance alone.

Discussion

These studies appear to demonstrate that the binaural beat has a direct effect on brainwave activity. Such a direct effect would involve the interaction of binaural-beat stimulation with the basic rest-activity cycle, other sensory stimulation, and with "higher order" memory or attentional processes under the scrutiny of the reticular formation. All of these systems cooperate to maintain homeostasis and optimal performance. Natural state changing mechanisms (Steriade, McCormick, & Sejnowski, 1993), ultradian rhythms, individual differences, prior experience, and beliefs may all contribute to the effects of and response to binaural beat stimulation as they do with nearly all other behaviors.

Newman (1997a,b) and references therein describe the extended reticular-thalamic activating system and convincingly argue that this 'conscious system' is responsible for modifying generalized levels of arousal as well as individual explicit patterns of arousal. Newman (1997a) writes, "This extended reticular-thalamic activating system (ERTAS) has been increasingly implicated in a variety of functions associated with consciousness, including: orienting to salient events in the outer world; dream (REM) sleep; the polymodal integration of sensory processes in the cortex (binding); selective attention

and volition." It may be that rhythmic sound patterns affect overall cortical levels of arousal by providing frequency information from the olivary nuclei, the first site of contralateral integration in the auditory system (Oster 1973), to the ERTAS (Swann et al. 1982). Perhaps the reticular sees the intervening rhythmic stimuli (including binaural beating) as phantom cortical activity and in an attempt to maintain homeostasis, alters arousal levels accordingly.

Data on an assortment of subject variables were also studied. There were no significant performance differences in either the experimental or placebo groups based on sex, experience with binaural beats, or temperament type (Myers-Briggs Type Indicator). In the placebo group delta levels were significantly ($p < .05$) higher during afternoon sessions than during morning sessions. Interestingly, in the experimental group delta levels were significantly higher during the morning sessions.

Although this paper is primarily concerned with the voluntary regulation of arousal levels through the use of persistent rhythmic sound stimuli, the incidental regulation of brainwave states by means of prevailing sounds in the workplace or home environment cannot be overlooked. The rhythmic mechanical sounds of machinery or electronic devices may enhance or impair task vigilance or work performance (see Lane et al. 1998). Background sounds may affect mood and sense of wellness.

Conclusion The

 two studies reported provide statistical observations in support of the notion that rhythmic sound patterns (binaural beats, in this case) appear to engender changes in cortical arousal, which can be objectively monitored with the free-running EEG. As the reticular is responsible for regulating cortical arousal (Swann et al. 1982; Empson 1986; Newman & Baars 1993; Newman 1997a,b; Petty 1998), it is possible that the reticular formation serves as the mechanism of change in arousal levels engendered by externally initiated (e.g., music, rhythmic drumming, or binaural beats) coherent oscillations within the superior olivary nuclei and the cholinergic neurons within the nucleus reticularis.

Additionally, four decades of investigation have shown that exposure to such stimuli under appropriate circumstances can provide access to expanded states of consciousness (Atwater 1997). Several free-running EEG studies (Foster 1990; Sadigh 1990; Hiew 1995, Brady 1997, among others) suggest that binaural beats induce alterations in cortical arousal states. These cited studies also document measurable changes in the ERTAS during exposure to binaural beats because the reticular formation is responsible for the regulation of cortical arousal. (See Swann et al. (1982), Empson (1986), Newman & Baars (1993), Newman (1997a,b), and Petty (1998).)

It would appear that the rhythmic frequencies of an auditory stimulus (when objectively demonstrated by an EEG frequency-following response) affect cholinergic neurons within the nucleus reticularis. Such an intercourse modifies the membrane transport and production of acetylcholine and consequently results in changes in arousal states. These suppositions are compatible with current knowledge of the reticular formation and suggest a neural mechanism, an instrument for the voluntary regulation of cortical levels of arousal using audio stimuli.

The implications in the enhancement of human performance as it relates to the control of generalized arousal levels such as the basic rest/activity cycle, sleep cycles, mood and

motivational states, orienting and vigilance, etc., are intriguing. This paper encourages further research and the responsible application of existing technologies providing access to propitious states of consciousness.

References

Akenhead, J. (1993). Hemi-Sync in support of a conflict-management workshop. Hemi-Sync Journal XI(4): ii-iv.

Atwater, F.H. (1997). Accessing anomalous states of consciousness. Journal of Scientific Exploration 11(3): 263-274.

Berger, R.J., Dement, W.C., Jacobson, A., Johnson, L.C., Jouvet, M., Monroe, L.J., Oswald, I.,

Brady, D.B. (1997). Binaural-beat induced theta EEG activity and hypnotic susceptibility. Northern Arizona University. http://www.monroeinstitute.org/research/
Carter, G. (1993). Healing Myself. Hampton Roads.

Empson, J. (1986). Human Brainwaves: The Psychological Significance of the Electroencephalogram. The Macmillan Press Ltd..

Foster, D.S. (1990). EEG and subjective correlates of alpha frequency binaural beat stimulation combined with alpha biofeedback. Hemi-Sync Journal VIII(2): i-ii.

Groenewengen, H.J. & Berendse, H.W. (1994). The Specificity of the "nonspecific" midline and intralaminar thalamic nuclei. Trends in Neuroscience 4(2): 52-58.

Guilfoyle, G. & Carbone, D. (1996). The facilitation of attention utilizing therapeutic sounds. Presented at the New York State Association of Day Service Providers Symposium, October 18, 1996, Albany, New York. http://www.monroeinstitute.org/research/

Hiew, C.C. (1995). Hemi-Sync into creativity. Hemi-Sync Journal XIII(1): iii-v. http://www.monroeinstitute.org/research

Hink, R.F., Kodera, K., Yamada, O., Kaga K., & Suzuki, J. (1980) Binaural interaction of a beating frequency following response. Audiology 19: 36-43.

Kennerly, R.C. (1994). An empirical investigation into the effect of beta frequency binaural beat audio signals on four measures of human memory. Department of Psychology, West Georgia
College, Carrolton, Georgia. http://www.monroeinstitute.org/research/

Lane, J.D., Kasian, S.J., Owens, J.E., & Marsh, G.R. (1998). Binaural auditory beats affect vigilance performance and mood. Physiology & Behavior 63(2): 249-252.

Marsh, J.T., Brown, W.S., & Smith, J.C. (1975). Far-field recorded frequency-following responses: Correlates of low pitch auditory perception in humans. Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology 38: 113-119.

Masluk, T.J. (1997). Reports of So-called "Peak" Experience During a Neurotechnology-based Training Program. Ann Arbor, MI: UMI Dissertation Services. http://www.monroeinstitute.org/research/

Morris, S.E. (1990). Hemi-Sync and the facilitation of sensory integration. Hemi-Sync Journal VIII(4): v-vi.

Morris, S.E. (1996). A study of twenty developmentally disabled children. Open Ear 2: 14-17.

Newman, J. & Baars, B.J. (1993). A neural attentional model for access to consciousness: A Global Workspace perspective. In: Concepts in Neuroscience 4(2): 255-290.

Newman, J. (1997a). Putting the puzzle together Part I: Toward a general theory of the neural correlates of consciousness. Journal of Consciousness Studies 4(1): 47-66.

Newman, J. (1997b). Putting the puzzle together Part II: Toward a general theory of the neural correlates of consciousness. Journal of Consciousness Studies 4(2): 47-66.

Oster, G. (1973). Auditory beats in the brain. Scientific American 229: 94-102.

Petty, P.G. (1998). Consciousness: A neurosurgical perspective. Journal of Consciousness Studies 5(1): 86-96.

Rhodes, L. (1993). Use of the Hemi-Sync super sleep tape with a preschool-aged child. Hemi-Sync Journal XI(4): iv-v.

Sadigh, M. (1990). Effects of Hemi-Sync on electrocortical activity. http://www.monroeinstitute.org/research/

Scheibel, A.B. (1980). Anatomical and physiological substrates of arousal: A view from the bridge. In: J.A. Hobson & M.A.B. Brazier (Eds.), The Reticular Formation Revisited. Raven Press.

Smith, J.C., Marsh, J.T., & Brown W.S. (1975). Far-field recorded frequency-following responses: Evidence for the locus of brainstem sources. Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology 39: 465-472, 1975.

Smith, J.C., Marsh, J.T., Greenberg, S., & Brown W.S. (1978) Human auditory frequency-following responses to a missing fundamental. Science 201: 639-641.

Steriade, M., McCormick, D.A., & Sejnowski, T.J. (1993). Thalamocortical oscillations in the sleeping and aroused brain. Science, 262, pp. 679-685.

Swann R., Bosanko S., Cohen R., Midgley R., & Seed K.M. (1982) The Brain - A User's Manual. G. P. Putnam's Sons: 92.

Waldkoetter, R.O. & Sanders, G.O. (1997). Auditory brain wave stimulation in treating alcoholic depression. Perceptual and Motor Skills 84: 226.

Wilson, E.S. (1990): Preliminary study of the Hemi-Sync sleep processor. Colorado Association for Psychophysiologic Research.

_____